Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 299 (1986) 205-211 Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne – Printed in The Netherlands

# CARBONYLATION AND HYDROGENATION OF cis-CH<sub>3</sub>Mn(CO)<sub>4</sub>L, SUBSTITUTIONAL REACTIVITY OF cis-HMn(CO)<sub>4</sub>L, AND BINUCLEAR ELIMINATION BETWEEN cis-CH<sub>3</sub>Mn(CO)<sub>4</sub>P(OPh)<sub>3</sub> AND cis-HMn(CO)<sub>4</sub>P(OPh)<sub>3</sub> (L = CO, PPh<sub>3</sub>, P(OPh)<sub>3</sub>, PBu<sub>3</sub> AND P(OMe)<sub>3</sub>)

RONALD J. RUSZCZYK, BIH-LIAN HUANG and JIM D. ATWOOD \*

Department of Chemistry, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York 14214 (U.S.A.) (Received July 16th, 1985)

#### Summary

Several reactions of cis-CH<sub>3</sub>Mn(CO)<sub>4</sub>L and cis-HMn(CO)<sub>4</sub>L, have been investigated. The carbonylation of cis-CH<sub>3</sub>Mn(CO)<sub>4</sub>L (L = CO, P(OPh)<sub>3</sub>, P(OMe)<sub>3</sub> and PBu<sub>3</sub>) shows only a very small ligand effect, indicating a transition state that has little unsaturation. Reaction with H<sub>2</sub> has very similar observed rate constants and activation parameters to the carbonylation. The hydrides, cis-HMn(CO)<sub>4</sub>L (L = P(OPh)<sub>3</sub>, PPh<sub>3</sub> and PBu<sub>3</sub>), are remarkably unreactive toward substitution, requiring temperatures of 100°C. A radical mechanism is most likely. Reactions of cis-HMn(CO)<sub>4</sub>P(OPh)<sub>3</sub> with cis-CH<sub>3</sub>Mn(CO)<sub>4</sub>P(OPh)<sub>3</sub> and cis-HMn(CO)<sub>4</sub>PBu<sub>3</sub> with CH<sub>3</sub>C(O)Mn(CO)<sub>5</sub> occur at temperatures where methyl migration is readily established and the hydride complexes are unreactive. Thus methyl migration, followed by coordination to a bridging hydride, is indicated for these binuclear reductive elimination reactions.

Knowledge of the reactivity of alkyl and hydride complexes is vital to an understanding of many important organometallic reactions. Carbonylation reactions, where an alkyl transition metal complex is converted to an acyl transition metal complex, are of fundamental importance to several catalytic processes, [1-6]. A number of studies have focused on the nature of the carbonylation reaction and the data have been interpreted in terms of an alkyl migration with the mechanism as shown in eq. 1 [7–16].



<sup>\*</sup> Alfred P. Sloan Foundation Fellow.

0022-328X/86/\$03.50 © 1986 Elsevier Sequoia S.A.

The reaction rate depends on the solvent (S), the entering nucleophile (L) and the alkyl group (R) [7–22]. On the other hand, the reactions of transition metal hydride complexes, which are equally important in catalytic reactions [3,4] are not as readily summarized. Stoichiometric reactions of hydride complexes often occur through 17-electron intermediates in contrast to catalytic reactions which are suggested to occur through ligand dissociation [23–31].

Continuing our studies of the modification of organometallic reactivity by changes in the ligand environment at a transition metal center [32-36], we have investigated the following reactions:

$$cis-CH_3Mn(CO)_4L + CO \rightleftharpoons cis-CH_3C(O)Mn(CO)_4L$$
 (2)

$$cis-CH_3Mn(CO)_4P(OPh)_3 + H_2 \rightarrow CH_4 + cis-HMn(CO)_4P(OPh)_3,$$
 (3)

$$Mn_{2}(CO)_{8}(P(OPh)_{3})_{2} \text{ and } Mn_{2}(CO)_{9}P(OPh)_{3}$$
  
cis-HMn(CO)<sub>4</sub>L + L  $\rightarrow$  HMn(CO)<sub>3</sub>L<sub>2</sub> + CO (4)

$$cis-CH_{3}Mn(CO)_{4}P(OPh)_{3} + cis-HMn(CO)_{4}P(OPh)_{3} \rightarrow Mn_{2}(CO)_{6}(P(OPh)_{2})_{2} + CH_{4} + Mn_{2}(CO)_{6}P(OPh)_{3}$$
(5)

$$Mn_2(CO)_8(P(OPh)_3)_2 + CH_4 + Mn_2(CO)_9P(OPh)_3$$
 (5)

$$cis$$
-HMn(CO)<sub>4</sub>PBu<sub>3</sub> + CH<sub>3</sub>C(O)Mn(CO)<sub>5</sub>  $\rightarrow$  Mn<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>9</sub>PBu<sub>3</sub> + CH<sub>3</sub>C(O)H + CO

where  $L = P(OMe)_3$ ,  $P(OPh)_3$ ,  $PBu_3$ , and CO

To minimize complications from solvent effects we have utilized decane as the solvent for these reactions.

## Experimental

#### **Preparations**

Hexane, octane, and benzene were refluxed over calcium hydride until dry, then vacuum distilled. Toluene and THF were refluxed over sodium/benzophenone until dry, and vacuum distilled. These solvents were stored over molecular sieves until used. Decane was purified by stirring twice, 24 h each, with sulfuric acid. The sulfuric acid was removed and the decane washed with water, passed down an alumina column, and stored over sodium until used. Methanol, xylene, methyl iodide (Baker Chemicals) and diglyme (Aldrich Chemical Co.) were used as obtained. Triphenylphosphite, trimethylphosphite, tributylphosphine, triphenylphosphine, triphenylphosphine, triphenylphosphine, triphenylarsine and dimanganese decacarbonyl were purchased from Strem Chemicals. Literature procedures were followed for the synthesis of  $CH_3Mn(CO)_5$  [37].

All reactions were carried out under nitrogen or argon atmospheres, using Schlenk techniques. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Beckman 4240 infrared spectrophotometer; NMR spectra were recorded on either a Varian EM390 or JEOL FX 90Q spectrometer.

## Syntheses of cis-CH<sub>3</sub>Mn(CO)<sub>4</sub>L's [38]

Stoichiometric quantities of L and  $CH_3Mn(CO)_5$  were refluxed in a solvent for a period of time, the solvent removed, and the oily residue crystallized from hexane:  $L = PPh_3$ , toluene, 24 h;  $L = AsPh_3$ , benzene, 8 h;  $L = P(OPh)_3$ , THF, 5 h;

#### TABLE 1

R	L	$\nu(CO) (cm^{-1})$	
СН,	PPh <sub>3</sub>	2059w, 1988m, 1972s, 1939m	
CH	AsPh <sub>3</sub>	2055s, 1979m, 1968s, 1934m	
CH	P(OPh) <sub>3</sub>	2072w, 2001m, 1985s, 1961m	
CH,	PBu <sub>3</sub>	2055w, 1980m, 1963s, 1928m	
CH <sub>3</sub>	$P(OMe)_3$	2069w, 1999m, 1978s, 1945m	
CH <sub>3</sub>	CO	2115w, 2015s, 1994s	
CH <sub>3</sub> C(O)	P(OPh) <sub>3</sub>	2085w, 2015s, 1990m, 1978w	
CH <sub>3</sub> C(0)	PBu 3	2057w, 1987s, 1964m, 1949m	
$CH_{3}C(0)$	P(OMe) <sub>3</sub>	2065w, 2012s, 1990m, 1967w	
CH <sub>3</sub> C(0)	CO	2115w, 2052m, 2011s, 2006sh	
н	PPh <sub>3</sub>	2061w, 1983m, 1957s	
н	P(OPh) <sub>3</sub>	2071w, 1998m, 1978s, 1953sh	
Н	PBu <sub>3</sub>	2054m, 1975m, 1956s, 1946s	
Н	$P(OMe)_3$	2073m, 1977vs, 1965s, 1947sh	

INFRARED SPECTRA FOR *cis*-RMn(CO)<sub>4</sub>L ( $R = CH_3$ ,  $CH_3C(O)$ , or H; L = PPh<sub>3</sub>, AsPh<sub>3</sub>, P(OPh)<sub>3</sub>, PBu<sub>3</sub>, P(OMe)<sub>3</sub>, CO) IN DECANE

L = PBu<sub>3</sub>, benzene, 5 h; L = P(OMe)<sub>3</sub>, THF, 4 h. Further purification was effected by recrystallization from hexane or column chromatography. The infrared spectra are reported in Table 1; the NMR spectra (benzene- $d_6$ ) are: L = PBu<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$  0.95(m), 1.42(m) and -0.12(d) (J(P-H) 7 Hz); L = P(OMe)<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$  2.95(d) (J(P-H) 10 Hz) and -0.24(d) (J(P-H) 8Hz).

Acetylmanganesepentacarbonyl was prepared by carbonylation of  $CH_3Mn(CO)_5$ at room temperature for 5 d at 750 psi. Slowly cooling the autoclave to dry ice temperature resulted in colorless needles which were collected by filtration. Further purification was effected by sublimation at  $-5^{\circ}C$ . The infrared spectrum is reported in Table 1; the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum showed a singlet at  $\delta$  2.26 ppm.

## Syntheses of cis-HMn(CO)<sub>4</sub>L

The hydrides are all prepared by protonation of the anions  $Mn(CO)_4L^-$  which are prepared from the dimers  $Mn_2(CO)_8L_2$  [40,41]. The dimers were prepared by refluxing stoichiometric quantities of  $Mn_2(CO)_{10}$  and L (IR's in hexane): L = PBu<sub>3</sub>, toluene, 8 h, 91% (IR, 1972w, 1947vs, 1934vw); L = P(OPh)<sub>3</sub>, 1-butanol, 6 h, 41% (IR, 2039w, 1977vs); L = PPh<sub>3</sub>, 1-butanol, 2 h, 52% (IR, 1985sh, 1955vs; L = P(OMe)<sub>3</sub>, toluene, 5 h, 22% (IR, 2066vw, 1995w, 1967vs, 1947vw, 1929vw.) The dimers were cleaved to the anions by reaction with Na/Hg in THF under an inert atmosphere. The solid products were isolated by decantation, filtration and THF removal and were then thoroughly washed with hydrocarbon solvents. Protonation was accomplished by addition of acetic acid in THF. The hydride product was purified on a Florisil column with mixtures of hexane/benzene. The infrared spectra are given in Table 1; the NMR spectra (benzene-d<sub>6</sub>) are: L = PPh<sub>3</sub>,  $\tau$  16.9, J(P-H)33 Hz; L = P(OPh)<sub>3</sub>,  $\tau$  17.8 ppm, J(P-H) 56 Hz; L = PBu<sub>3</sub>,  $\tau$  17.7, J(P-H) 37 Hz; L = P(OMe)<sub>3</sub>,  $\tau$  17.8 ppm J(P-H) 50 Hz.

## Kinetic studies

Cis-methylmanganese tetracarbonyl tributylphosphine, cis-methylmanganese tetracarbonyl trimethylphosphite and cis-hydridomanganese tetracarbonyl tributylphosphine were purified before use by chromatographing on an alumina column with hexane. Solutions of substituted methylmanganese carbonyls and hydrides were made up to be approximately 1 mM and 20 ml portions of these solutions were used for each kinetics experiment. The solutions were stored in the dark under nitrogen until used.

The reactions were accomplished in foil wrapped, 100 ml, 2 nccked, jacketed vessels in darkened hoods and followed by infrared spectroscopy. Infrared spectra were measured on a Beckman 4240 Infrared Spectrophotometer in the absorbance mode using 1.0 mm NaCl solution cells. The reactions were followed until equilibrium or completion ( $\sim$  3 half-lives) was reached.

The reaction vessel was flushed with nitrogen before the kinetics solution was introduced. The solution was brought to reaction temperature, and maintained at that temperature by a Haake FS constant temperature circulator to within  $\pm 0.1^{\circ}$ C. For reactions under CO, excess nitrogen was evacuated from the vessel and carbon monoxide at one atmosphere pressure was introduced. The vessel was evacuated and filled with carbon monoxide three times. At appropriate time intervals, 0.5 ml of solution was removed via syringe through a septum and the infrared spectrum immediately recorded.

Carbonylation reactions produced an equilibrium (reaction 2) with the equilibrium constant,

$$K_{\rm eq} = \frac{\left[ \rm CH_3C(O)Mn(\rm CO)_4L \right]}{\left[ \rm CH_3Mn(\rm CO)_4L \right] \left[ \rm CO \right]}$$

For experiments with one atmosphere of CO the [CO] was assumed to be  $1.0 \times 10^{-2}$  *M* from comparison to the solubility in heptane [42,43]. The [CO] will decrease with increasing temperature but the change over a 20°C range should be no more than 20% [42,43]. Since the rate constants for carbonylation appear to be invariant to [CO] only the equilibrium constants are affected.

## **Results and Discussion**

The reactions under consideration are those shown in reactions 2–6. The rate and equilibrium constants for the carbonylation of cis-CH<sub>3</sub>Mn(CO)<sub>4</sub>L at 70°C are given in Table 2 and the activation parameters in Table 3. The complexes with more weakly bonding ligands, L = PPh<sub>3</sub> and AsPh<sub>3</sub>, underwent L loss at comparable rates to the migration precluding detailed investigation of the alkyl migration.

TABLE 2

COMPARATIVE DATA AT 70°C FOR THE CARBONYLATION OF cis-CH<sub>3</sub>Mn(CO)<sub>4</sub>L

L	$k_{\rm f}  ({\rm s}^{-1})$	$K_{eq}(M^{-1})$	
CO	1.9×10 <sup>-5</sup>	120	
P(OMe) <sub>3</sub>	$2.3 \times 10^{-5}$	140	
P(OPh) <sub>3</sub>	$3.6 \times 10^{-5}$	170	
P(OPh) <sub>3</sub> <sup>a</sup>	$3.5 \times 10^{-5}$	170	
PBu <sub>3</sub>	$4.5 \times 10^{-4}$	180	

<sup>a</sup> 1/2 atmosphere CO.

#### TABLE 3

L	$\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\neq}$ (kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> )	$\Delta S_{\rm f}^{\neq} \; ({\rm cal}\; {\rm K}^{-1}\; {\rm mol}^{-1})$
СО	$10.5 \pm 1.7$	$-50\pm 5$
P(OPh) <sub>3</sub>	$15.3 \pm 1.3$	$-34\pm 4$
$P(OMe)_3$	$15.1 \pm 1.7$	$-36\pm 5$
PBu <sub>3</sub>	$23.2 \pm 0.6$	$-7\pm 2$
$P(OPh)_3^a$	$23.0 \pm 5.0$	$-11 \pm 13$
$P(OPh)_3^{b}$	$20.6 \pm 5.0$	$-22 \pm 12$

ACTIVATION PARAMETERS FOR CARBONYLATION AND HYDROGENATION REACTIONS OF cis-CH<sub>3</sub>Mn(CO)<sub>4</sub>L

<sup>a</sup> 1/2 atmosphere CO. <sup>b</sup> H<sub>2</sub>.

The comparative equilibrium constants show small variation with the nature of the ligand. Equilibrium constants for L = CO (CH<sub>3</sub>Mn(CO)<sub>5</sub>) in various solvents have been previously evaluated [7]. Given that the previous study did not include decane as a solvent and that the temperature ranges are different, the agreement between our value for K and that previously reported is satisfactory [7]. The variation of equilibrium constant with the nature of the ligand at 70°C suggests a steric contribution to the equilibrium constant with the bulkier ligand favoring the acyl product. The cone angle of an acyl and an alkyl are approximately the same. However, as a planar species, the acyl may orient to minimize steric interactions. The equilibrium constants do not correlate directly with any electronic bonding parameter.

The rate constant variations with L are not very large as shown in Table 2, a factor of 20 for the ligands investigated, there is essentially no variation with the exclusion of  $L = PBu_3$ . This effect is considerably smaller than the effect of these ligands on dissociative reactions where the donor ability of the ligand is important for stabilization of the unsaturated transition state [32-36]. This small ligand effect for the alkyl migration indicates that the transition state is not coordinatively unsaturated or that the stabilization of the ground state. The stabilization of the ground state by donor ligands should be reflected in the equilibrium constants. Thus it is likely that in decane the transition state for methyl migration on *cis*-CH<sub>3</sub>Mn(CO)<sub>4</sub>L does not contain a coordinatively unsaturated metal center.

The very similar rates and activation parameters for reaction with 1/2 atmosphere CO, with 1 atmosphere CO and with 1 atmosphere H<sub>2</sub> indicate little contribution from the entering ligand. The difference in the rates and activation parameters for L = PBu<sub>3</sub> may indicate a shift in the transition state.

The reaction of cis-CH<sub>3</sub>Mn(CO)<sub>4</sub>P(OPh)<sub>3</sub> with H<sub>2</sub> occurs with very similar rates and activation parameters to the carbonylation reaction. This reaction, in contrast to the carbonylation, goes to completion with a mixture of products, CH<sub>4</sub>, *cis*-HMn(CO)<sub>4</sub>P(OPh)<sub>3</sub>, Mn<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>(P(OPh)<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and Mn<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>9</sub>P(OPh)<sub>3</sub>. The similarity in rate to carbonylation suggests that the reaction proceeds initially by methyl migration; subsequent reactions are speculative, perhaps oxidation addition of H<sub>2</sub> (as suggested for catalytic hydrogenation of alkenes by *cis*-HMn(CO)<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>3</sub> in a photochemical reaction) [28], dissociation of CO and reductive-elimination as *cis*-The dimeric species could arise from binuclear reductive elimination as *cis*-  $HMn(CO)_4P(OPh)_3$  builds up in solution. Overlap of infrared absorptions makes it impossible to further define the mechanism for reaction of H<sub>2</sub> with *cis*-CH<sub>3</sub>Mn(CO)<sub>4</sub>P(OPh)<sub>3</sub>.

The hydride complexes, cis-HMn(CO)<sub>4</sub>L (L = phosphine or phosphite ligand) are amazingly unreactive. Carefully purified complexes are unreactive toward ligand substitution at temperatures less than ~90°C in the absence of light. At 90°C cis-HMn(CO)<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>3</sub> undergoes PPh<sub>3</sub> replacement; cis-HMn(CO)<sub>4</sub>P(OPh)<sub>3</sub> is unreactive under similar conditions. cis-HMn(CO)<sub>4</sub>PBu<sub>3</sub> undergoes substitution reactions between 120–140°C:

$$cis-HMn(CO)_4PBu_3 + PBu_3 \xrightarrow{120-140^{\circ}C} HMn(CO)_3(PBu_3)_2$$
(7)

$$cis$$
-HMn(CO)<sub>4</sub>PBu<sub>3</sub> + CO  $\xrightarrow{120-140^{\circ}C}$  Mn<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>10</sub> (8)

Several characteristics of these reactions of the hydrides indicate seventeen-electron intermediates: (1) The rates are inconsistent from one reaction to another and even within a given reaction. (2) Light strongly catalyzes the substitution reactions. (3) The product of the reaction with CO is  $Mn_2(CO)_{10}$ . (4) The presence of CO does not significantly affect the rate of reaction of PBu<sub>3</sub> with *cis*-HMn(CO)<sub>4</sub>PBu<sub>3</sub>.

The significant feature of the reactivity of the hydrides is the greatly lowered ligand substitutional reactivity of cis-HMn(CO)<sub>4</sub>L (L = phosphine or phosphite) in comparison to HMn(CO)<sub>5</sub>. This represents a very large ligand effect and apparently a change in mechanism. The rate data for HMn(CO)<sub>5</sub> indicated either direct nucleophilic attack or a hydride migration [25]. Both steric interactions and electronic factors would indicate a decrease in rate for nucleophilic attack on cis-HMn(CO)<sub>4</sub>L in comparison to HMn(CO)<sub>5</sub>, consistent with the observations. For such a dramatic ligand effect a hydride migration would have to be very different than methyl migration. Thus the probable mechanism for HMn(CO)<sub>5</sub> (nucleophilic attack) is sufficiently inhibited that homolysis of the H–Mn bond becomes rate-determining for cis-HMn(CO)<sub>4</sub>L at considerably more severe conditions than required for substitution of HMn(CO)<sub>5</sub>.

We have also investigated the reactions of cis-CH<sub>3</sub>Mn(CO)<sub>4</sub>P(OPh)<sub>3</sub> with cis-HMn(CO)<sub>4</sub>P(OPh)<sub>3</sub> and of CH<sub>3</sub>C(O)Mn(CO)<sub>5</sub> with cis-HMn(CO)<sub>4</sub>PBu<sub>3</sub> [44]. Both of these reactions occur at moderate conditions (60°C) where no ligand substitution behavior is seen for cis-HMn(CO)<sub>4</sub>PBu<sub>3</sub> or cis-HMn(CO)<sub>4</sub>P(OPh)<sub>3</sub>. Thus, rate-determining rearrangement of the alkyl or acyl group is indicated. Quantitative studies of these reactions (reactions 5 and 6) were prohibited by severe overlap of infrared spectra. However, the reactions proceeded at conditions where methyl migration occurs. Thus a binuclear hydride transfer such as that suggested for reaction of CH<sub>3</sub>MoCp(CO)<sub>3</sub> with HMoCp(CO)<sub>3</sub> or HCo(CO)<sub>4</sub> with EtOOCCH<sub>2</sub>Co(CO)<sub>4</sub> is indicated [45,46].

A study of binuclear elimination from  $HMn(CO)_5$  and  $p-CH_3OC_6H_4-CH_2Mn(CO)_5$  indicated that the product observed may be sensitive to the solvent and to ligand effects, [47,48]. The mechanism of alkane formation involved either CO dissociation or cleavage of the R-Mn bond on  $p-CH_3O-C_6H_4CH_2Mn(CO)_5$ . We cannot rule out these mechanisms for reaction 5, but believe the similarity in rates to the carbonylation reaction suggests an initial methyl migration for reaction 5.

## References

- 1 R.L. Pruett, Adv. Organomet. Chem., 17 (1979) 1.
- 2 D. Forster, Adv. Organomet. Chem., 17 (1979) 255.
- 3 G.W. Parshall, Homogeneous Catalysis, John Wiley and Sons, 1980.
- 4 C. Masters, Homogeneous Transition-Metal Catalysis, Chapman and Hall, 1981.
- 5 T.G. Richmond, F. Basolo and D.F. Shriver, Inorg. Chem., 21 (1982) 1272.
- 6 J.P. Collman, R.G. Finke, J.N. Cawse and J.I. Brauman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 100 (1978) 4766.
- 7 F. Calderazzo and F.A. Cotton, Inorg. Chem., 1 (1962) 30.
- 8 I.S. Butler, F. Basolo and R.G. Pearson, Inorg. Chem., 6 (1967) 2074.
- 9 K. Nicholas, S. Raghu and M. Rosenblum, J. Organomet. Chem., 78 (1974) 133.
- 10 J.P. Bibler and A. Wojcicki, Inorg. Chem., 5 (1966) 889.
- 11 C.S. Kraihanzel and P.K. Maples, Inorg. Chem., 7 (1968) 1806.
- 12 K. Noack and F. Calderazzo, J. Organomet. Chem., 10 (1967) 101.
- 13 R.J. Mawby, F. Basolo and R.J. Pearson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 86 (1964) 3994.
- 14 G.M. Whitsides and D.J. Boschetto, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 91 (1969) 4313.
- 15 H. Berke and R. Hoffmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 100 (1978) 7224.
- 16 C.P. Casey and L.M. Baltusir, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 104 (1982) 6347.
- 17 J.N. Cawse, R.A. Fiato and R.L. Pruett, J. Organomet. Chem., 172 (1979) 405.
- 18 F. Calderazzo and K. Noack, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1 (1966) 118.
- 19 R.F. Heck, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 85 (1963) 651.
- 20 M.J. Wax and R.G. Bergman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 103 (1981) 7028.
- 21 J.D. Cotton and R.D. Markwell, Organometallics, 4 (1985) 937.
- 22 C.R. Jablonski and Y.-P. Wang, Organometallics, 4 (1985) 465.
- 23 B.H. Byers and T.L. Brown, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99 (1977) 2527.
- 24 N.W. Hoffman and T.L. Brown, Inorg. Chem., 17 (1978) 613.
- 25 B.H. Byers and T.L. Brown, J. Organomet. Chem., 127 (1977) 181.
- 26 R.G. Pearson, H.W. Walker, H. Mauermann and P.C. Ford, Inorg. Chem., 20 (1981) 2741.
- 27 P.L. Bogdan, A. Wong and J.D. Atwood, J. Organomet. Chem., 229 (1982) 185.
- 28 P.L. Bogdan, P.J. Sullivan and J.D. Atwood, J. Organomet. Chem., 269 (1984) C51.
- 29 R.F. Heck and D.S. Breslow, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 83 (1961) 4023.
- 30 L. Vaska, Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett., 1 (1965) 89.
- 31 D. Evans, G. Yagupsky and G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc. A (1968) 2660.
- 32 J.D. Atwood, M.J. Wovkulich and D.C. Sonnenberger, Acc. Chem. Res., 16 (1983) 350.
- 33 M.J. Wovkulich and J.D. Atwood, Organometallics, 1 (1982) 1316.
- 34 D.C. Sonnenberger and J.D. Atwood, Organometallics, 1 (1982) 694.
- 35 D.C. Sonnenberger and J.D. Atwood, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 104 (1982) 2113.
- 36 S.P. Modi and J.D. Atwood, Inorg. Chem., 22 (1983) 26.
- 37 R.B. King, Organometallic Syntheses, Academic Press, New York, 1965.
- 38 C.S. Kraihanzel and P.K. Maples, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 87 (1965) 5267.
- 39 C.S. Kraihanzel and P.K. Maples, Inorg. Chem., 7 (1968) 1806.
- 40 H. Wawersik and F. Basolo, Inorg. Chem., 6 (1967) 1066.
- 41 D. Drew, D.J. Darensbourg and M.Y. Darensbourg, Inorg. Chem., 14 (1975) 1579.
- 42 J.C. Gjaldbaek, Acta Chem. Scand., 6 (1952) 623.
- 43 P.L. Stanghellini, R. Rossetti, O. Gambino and G. Cetini, Inorg. Chem., 10 (1971) 1971.
- 44 Manganese products were determined by infrared analysis. Methane was determined by gas chromatography on Poropak Q. Acetaldehyde was determined by GC, NMR and GC mass spectroscopy. Use of *cis*-DMn(CO)<sub>4</sub>PBu<sub>3</sub> gave CH<sub>3</sub>CDO. GC mass spectra were recorded by the Cornell University Mass Spectral Facility.
- 45 W.D. Jones and R.G. Bergman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 101 (1979) 5447.
- 46 C.D. Hoff, F. Ungvary, R.B. King and L. Markó, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 107 (1985) 666.
- 47 M.J. Nappa, R. Santi and J. Halpern, Organometallics, 4 (1985) 34.
- 48 M.J. Nappa, R. Santi, S.P. Diefenbach and J. Halpern, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 104 (1982) 619.